



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/835,297	04/12/2001	Jeffrey A. Bluestone	GNN-014CP	4765
959	7590	03/04/2004	EXAMINER	
LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP. 28 STATE STREET BOSTON, MA 02109			ROARK, JESSICA H	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	1644

DATE MAILED: 03/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/835,297	BLUESTONE ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jessica H. Roark	1644	
All Participants:	Status of Application: _____	
(1) <u>Jessica H. Roark</u> .	(3) _____.	
(2) <u>Megan E. Williams</u> .	(4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>4 March 2004</u>	Time: _____	
Type of Interview:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
<i>none</i>		
Claims discussed:		
<i>none</i>		
Prior art documents discussed:		
<i>none</i>		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called to determine if a response had been filed to the Restriction Requirement mailed 8/19/03. Applicant's representative indicated no Restriction Requirement had been received. Inspection of the file revealed that the Restriction Requirement had been mailed to an incorrect address. The Examiner indicated the Restriction Requirement would be re-mailed to the correct address and the response period restarted.

The period for response therefore begins with the date of re-mailing. .